Breaking the Cycle of Surface Scaling on Concrete Flatwork

Matthew R. Sherman, P.E., F-ACI, F-ICRI
R. Brett Holland, Ph.D., P.E., S.E.
22 October 2019
Learning Objectives

1. Understand the causes of surface scaling on concrete formwork.
2. Identify factors leading to the recent onset of scaling issues, including weather, construction practices, materials, competition, pricing, specifications, expectations, and supervision.
4. Discuss ideas for avoiding and mitigating these effects.
Our recent experience...
Our Experience – Common Causes

• Low entrained air content
• Overworked surface
• Poor curing
• Poor specification
• Early exposure
• Deicing salt attack
• A combination of the above (over 50% of the time)
Key things to remember today...

• Everyone is annoyed, exasperated, and frustrated by this problem.

• We are going to ask you to relax your preconceptions:
  • There are many concrete “diseases” with scaling symptoms – it has to be properly diagnosed and responsibility is different for each
  • If there are “n” people in the room, each knows the “n-1” people responsible for the problem

• Spoiler Alert: There isn’t one thing happening and there isn’t one solution.
None of us wants:
• Rework
• Money-loser projects
• Delays to users
• Added maintenance
• Claims/disputes
What is scaling?
Scaling – What is it & why does it happen?

PCA: “…caused by the expansion of water due to freezing and thawing cycles and the use of deicing chemicals; however properly specified, produced, finished, and cured quality concrete need not suffer this type of deterioration.”
Scaling at the microscopic level...
Mechanism...
Mechanism...
Two types of scaling

• Exposure of young or “green” concrete to aggressive conditions
• Freezing and thawing of non-durable concrete
Early-age exposure scaling is caused by...

- Freezing of concrete that still contains placement water
- Effects of salts on young (weak) concrete
Non-durable concrete is caused by...

• Lack of entrained air
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Non-durable concrete is caused by...

- Lack of entrained air
- High w/cm
- Low hydration
- Low strength

Table 4.2.3.1b—Requirements by freezing-and-thawing exposure class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure Class</th>
<th>Minimum ( f_c ), MPa (psi)</th>
<th>Maximum w/cm¹</th>
<th>Air content</th>
<th>Limits on cementitious materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F0</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>25 (3500)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Table 4.2.3.2.4</td>
<td>No restriction¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>25 (3500)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>Table 4.2.3.2.4</td>
<td>No restriction¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3a</td>
<td>32 (4500)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>Table 4.2.3.2.4</td>
<td>Table 4.2.3.1c¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3b</td>
<td>32 (4500)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>Table 4.2.3.2.4</td>
<td>No restriction¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹The minimum average compressive strength that should be achieved before initial exposure to freezing and thawing.
²The maximum w/cm for the in-place concrete to provide adequate restriction of freezeable water in the properly cured concrete.
³High cementitious material replacement for portland cement frequently results in lower rates of strength gain. Care should be taken to ensure that adequate curing (moisture, temperature, and time) is provided so that the minimum \( f_c \) is achieved before initial exposure to freezing and thawing.
⁴Hand-finished surfaces.
⁵Formed and machine-finished surfaces.
⁶A lower w/cm may be needed when corrosion is of concern (ACI 318-14).
But don’t count out the environment...

- Early freezes
- Aggressive chemicals
- Saturation
So what is going on?
So what is going on?

- Many things are in play
- There are many mechanisms that all manifest as scaling
- There are lots of different people and steps involved
- You have to investigate to find out which one or who

Likely contributors:
- Exposure
- Finishing
- Deicing salts
- Materials
- Specifications
- Curing
- Economics
Framing the discussion...

• We have always had concrete
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Concrete

Exposure
Framing the discussion...

• We have always had concrete
• We have always had winter
• It worked pretty well in the past
Exposure...

• Later construction seasons
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• Later construction seasons
Late season requirements…

- 500 psi required before FIRST freeze (more for harsher exposure)
- Concrete has to lose excess moisture before it freezes
- 3,500 psi before repeated cycles
- 4,500 psi before repeated cycles with deicers (Per ACI)
- “Green” concrete is especially vulnerable to deicing salts
- Protection recommended if the concrete is exposed early in life
Late season requirements...

• We are seeing late placements, with distress clearly correlating to the placement season
• But...economic advantages to late season placements means we have to balance risk and reward
Exposure...

• Later construction seasons
• Changes in the weather
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- Later construction seasons
- Changes in the weather
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- Number of cycles?
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- Number of cycles?
- Severity of winter?
Exposure...

- Number of cycles?
- Severity of winter?
- Timing of first freeze?
Finishing…

• The finisher is usually the first one blamed...
• Sometimes it is warranted...
• We aren’t “finishing it the same way we always have…”
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Finishing...

- Isn’t being done “the same way we always have…”
  - Changes in concrete
  - Labor changes
  - Economics
- And sometimes it isn’t being done correctly...
- Can remove air from the near-surface.
- Can trap bleed water.
Finishing...what about certification?

- ACI Flatwork finisher certification exists
- It doesn’t exactly cover sidewalks
- Not a bad idea, but...
- Has associated costs
  - Actual time and money
  - Potential for “beta error”
- Only means you know how to do it “correctly”, not that you will
- Needs incentives, such as pay factors, and oversight to make it worthwhile

PCA trowel photo – used with permission
De-icing...

• De-icing is known to exacerbate the problem
• De-icing has changed over the years
  • More emphasis on clear paths of travel
  • New materials
  • Pre-treatment of salt and pre-application of brines
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• De-icing is known to exacerbate the problem
• De-icing has changed over the years
  • More emphasis on clear paths of travel
  • New materials
  • Pre-treatment of salt and pre-application of brines
De-icing...

• Is sometimes clearly associated with damage patterns, sometimes not...

• Note that concrete should be able to survive deicing salts, but our testing hasn’t evolved to address changes in de-icing technology...
De-icing – when can I first apply them?

- Sometime between now and never.
- Industry does not have a definitive answer.
  - “1 to 3 months”
  - “1 year”
  - After the first freeze-thaw cycle.
  - “After a period of drying”
- Most of these are UNREALISTIC
- Our recommendation:
  - Best bet is to not de-ice for the first winter
  - More realistically, do not de-ice until 2 to 3 months
  - Protect the concrete with a silane or siloxane sealer
  - Read the bag for application rates
Materials...

- Changes in cements
- Changes in admixtures
- Changes in pozzolans
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• Changes in cements
• Changes in admixtures
• Changes in pozzolans
Materials

- Cements are finer
- SCMs are common, we are even seeing blends of three
- SCM sources are in flux
- These change
  - Finishing “feel”
  - Timing of finishing
  - Bleed
  - Strength gain
Material effects include...

- Air-entrainers are different now; typically see synthetics
- Interactions with other admixtures, particularly polycarboxylates
- Sensitivity to process

These changes don’t make the concrete “bad”, they make it different
Specifications...

• Sidewalks are often an afterthought
• Not really ACI 318, not really AASHTO...
• Often unrealistic curing
• Haphazardly enforced
• Weak on testing
• Haven’t been updated to reflect current practice
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## Specifications...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strength (psi)</th>
<th>w/cm</th>
<th>Air</th>
<th>SCM Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACI 201.2-01</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>4.5-7.5</td>
<td>[no mention]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACI 201.2-16</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>0.45*</td>
<td>4.5-7.5</td>
<td>Max. limits given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass. Building Code</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.5-7.5</td>
<td>[no mention]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AASHTO (LRFD Bridge)</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>4.5-7.5</td>
<td>[no mention]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical DOT</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.0-6.0</td>
<td>Min./Max limits given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACI 318-95</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>4.5-7.5</td>
<td>Max. limits given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACI 318-14</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>4.5-7.5</td>
<td>Max. limits given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Boston</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.5-7.5</td>
<td>None allowed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- All for wet, with deicer exposure
Specifications...

To think about
• Recommendations are based on prior testing and have evolved; have your specifications kept up?
• Deicing technology has changed; does what worked before work now?

Concerns
• Finishability challenges
• Hot weather protection
• Curing becomes more important
• Cost: labor and materials
Specifications

- Check your testing requirements and frequency
  - Owners
  - Contractors
  - Producers
- Enforce your testing requirements to level the field
- Testing is only as good as the tester
- But remember, the in-situ concrete isn’t tested
Curing...

- Is critical to performance
- Provides for hydration and reaction of the cement in the near-surface concrete
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Curing

• Absolutely necessary for good concrete
• Absolutely impractical for miles of sidewalk when you have to keep businesses open
• Curing compounds are a mixed bag
  • Help early
  • Can interfere with later coatings
  • New cure/seals look promising
Economics...

- Are the driver of the entire system
- Critical to staying competitive in the market
- Affect finishing, curing, materials, exposure, time to first exposure...
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Maybe a combination...

• We have always had concrete
• We have always had winter
• It worked pretty well in the past
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- We have always had concrete
- We have always had winter
- It worked pretty well in the past

Maybe multiple things changed...
Let’s collect our thoughts
So far, we have learned...

• There is a lot going on here
• It is a systems-level problem, not an item-level problem
• As a system-level problem, there is a real question of system “robustness”
• Different types of problems manifest in a similar way, making them hard to tell apart
Likely contributors...

• Exposure
• Finishing
• Deicing salts
• Materials
• Specifications
• Curing
• Economics
So how do we move forward?
Let’s look at some options...

• Group by when they get implemented
• Realize that different ones are appropriate for different projects – you need to balance cost, risk, and reward
  • Late-season placement at the entrance to a signature building?
  • June placement at the dumpster pad?
Before construction...

• Specifications – materials, testing, curing, sealers...
  • Make sure that all are bidding to the same expectations and requirements – this may take some education and time
  • Probably want 0.40 w/cm; 5,000 psi strength, 5.0-8.0% air
  • Probably an acrylic/silane-based cure and seal
  • Probably want to test the concrete often – maybe even core
  • Pre-qualify concretes

• Planning – timing, crews, suppliers, expectations...
  • Start testing mixes, maybe with updated tests that reflect today’s practices and deicers

• Payment factors – to reinforce & reward doing it the right way, all the time
During construction...

- Use proper techniques and best practices
  - Incent and motivate the workers
  - Certification of finishers – maybe, but that won’t solve problem if finishers don’t want it to, and runs risk to the labor force

- Inspection, testing, and monitoring
  - Hold everyone to the same standards
  - Testing for what matters is not so straightforward
  - “Keeps honest people honest” and levels the playing field

- Protect and cure
  - Prevent early exposure
  - Give the concrete a chance to succeed
Avoiding scaling – after construction...

• Control the exposure
  • Choose your deicer carefully
  • Apply as recommended, and to minimum extent required
  • Consider alternates to early salting
  • Remove excess and rinse as often as you can

• Consider sealers
  • Provide additional margin, especially for concrete cast late in season
  • Benefits likely outweigh the costs
Wrap up...
A multi-phase issue with many contributors...

• Everyone owns a bit, and everyone can help improve the situation
• Requires a cooperative response
• Adjust the way we think about it – they are big $, and big impact
• Need to recognize the economics of the projects
  • Maintain an even field with uniform expectations, testing, and enforcement
  • Incent all parties – share risk and reward

• We can be successful if we all do our part!
Questions?
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ACI 201, ACI 318 and AASHTO – Where does concrete flat work fit?
Durable concrete is...

Knowing your Exposure Class (ACI 201 and 318, which are the...
Durable concrete is...and

Designing your concrete mixture to meet the freeze-thaw durability requirements of ACI 201

Table 4.2.3.2.4—Recommended air contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal maximum aggregate size, in. (mm)</th>
<th>Air content, percent*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exposure Class F1</td>
<td>Exposure Class F2 and F3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8 (9.5)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2 (12.5)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4 (19)</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (25)</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-1/2 (37.5)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (50)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (75)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Field tolerance on air content is recommended as ±1-1/2 percent. Air content recommendations are based on 18 percent air in the paste portion of the concrete with a Vinsol resin air-entraining agent (from an analysis of work by Klieger [1952]). Mixture proportions based on guidance in ACI 211.1 for angular coarse aggregates along with the maximum w/c ratio values from Table 4.2.3.1b were used to determine the air content recommendations. Mixtures using rounded aggregates will require approximately 1 percent less air due to the lower paste contents associated with rounded aggregates.

Table 4.2.3.1b—Requirements by freezing-and-thawing exposure class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure Class</th>
<th>Minimum $T_f$ *°C (°F)</th>
<th>Maximum w/cm†</th>
<th>Air content</th>
<th>Limits on cementitious materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F0</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>No restrictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>25 (3500)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>No restrictions†††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>25 (3500)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>No restrictions†††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3a†</td>
<td>32 (4500)</td>
<td>0.45‡‡</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>No restrictions†††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3b††</td>
<td>32 (4500)</td>
<td>0.45‡‡</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>No restrictions†††</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2.3.1c—Cementitious materials limitations for Exposure Class F3b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cementitious materials</th>
<th>Maximum percent of total cementitious materials by mass†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fly ash or other pozzolan, conforming to ASTM C618</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slag conforming to ASTM C989/C989M</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silica fume conforming to ASTM C1240</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of fly ash or other pozzolan, slag, and silica fume</td>
<td>50†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of fly ash or other pozzolan and silica fume</td>
<td>35†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The minimum average compressive strength that should be achieved before initial exposure to freezing and thawing.
†The maximum w/cm for the in-place concrete to provide adequate restriction of freezable water in the properly-cured concrete.
‡High cementitious material replacement for portland cement frequently reduces the lower rates of strength gain. Care should be taken to ensure that adequate curing moisture, temperature, and time is provided so that the minimum $T_f$ is achieved before initial exposure to freezing and thawing.
††Hand-finished surfaces.
‡‡Planed and machine-finished surfaces.
‡‡‡A lower w/cm may be needed when corrosion is of concern (ACI 318-14).
Durable concrete is considering the enhanced freeze-thaw durability requirements of ACI 201

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure class</th>
<th>Maximum sec/cm²</th>
<th>Minimum f′c, psi</th>
<th>Additional requirements</th>
<th>Limits on cementitious materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>Table 19.3.3.1 for concrete or Table 19.3.3.3 for shotcrete</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>Table 19.3.3.1 for concrete or Table 19.3.3.3 for shotcrete</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>0.40⁷³¹</td>
<td>5000⁷³¹</td>
<td>Table 19.3.3.1 for concrete or Table 19.3.3.3 for shotcrete</td>
<td>36.4.2.2(b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 19.3.3.1—Total air content for concrete exposed to cycles of freezing and thawing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal maximum aggregate size, in.</th>
<th>Target air content, percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-1/2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Durable concrete is considering AASHTO Requirements

- Limits w/cm to 0.45
- Requires providing a minimum 30 day drying period after curing before allowing the use of deicers.
Why Air-Entrainment Important?

- AEA’s are surfactants.
- Non-polar chain molecule, with a -ve charge at one end
- Cause water to foam during mixing

**Acts at Air-Water Interface**

- Entrained air voids (~0.05-1mm) remain stable throughout hydration and remain after setting
Characteristics of Frost Resistant Air Void System

ASTM C 457

- Spacing factor ≤ 0.008 in (0.203 mm)
- Specific surface ≥ 600 in²/in³ (24 mm²/mm³)
- Voids per linear inch: 1.5 - 2 times the percentage of air
Air Void Spacing & Volume

• **Spacing factor (L):** the maximum distance of any point in the cement paste from the periphery of an air void.

• **Specific surface:** the surface area of a quantity of air voids that have a total volume of 1 in$^3$ (16 cm$^3$).

Courtesy of M. Thomas
Air-Entraining Admixtures (AEAs)

• 4 Categories:
  1. Wood Derived Products: Vinsol® resin, Tall oil, Wood rosin (Less available)
  2. Synthetic Materials: Alky-aryl sulfonates and sulfates (Now most common)
  3. Vegetable Acids: Coconut fatty acids, Alkanolamine salt
  4. Miscellaneous: Alkali/alkanolamine acid salts, Animal tallows

• Must pass ASTM C 260
Measuring Air in Hardened Concrete

• ASTM C 457 provides 2 methods:
  A: Linear Traverse Method
  B: Point Count Method
Good Air

Low Air in the Surface Region (Overfinishing)
What’s Different Now?
Batching and Transporting Durable Concrete

- Batch to meet the ACI design requirements for air and w/cm
- Know how your AEA’s perform
- Slump;
  - <3-in. (75mm),
  - >6-in. (150mm)
- Temperature
- Quality Control Testing (more on this later)
Placing Finishing and Curing Durable Concrete

• Placement/ Pumping
• Consolidation
• Finishing
• Curing

PCA photo
Quality Control

Concrete materials

Cement
- Admixture dosage based on cement content
- Higher fineness requires higher dosage
- Alkalies increase air entrainment

Supplementary Cementitious Materials
- Fly ash, silica fume may increase dosages 2 – 6 times
- Be careful for unburned carbon in fly ash

Aggregates
- Increased sand content helps air entrainment
- Ultra fines (< #200) harmful

Admixtures
- Compatibility should be examined
- Added separately to mixtures
- Some superplasticizers affect spacing factor
Measuring and Monitoring Air Content in the Field

Measure total air volume in a sample compacted in a standard way. Cannot distinguish between entrained and entrapped air. Does not consider effects placing/finishing operations.
Avoid early-age exposure by...

• Avoid placing flatwork after September

• It can be done, but you have to understand the risks
What can I do about freeze-thaw scaling?

• Confirm the cause and determine the extent
  • Good investigation, including a hands-on survey; use a chain drag and hammer
  • More survey = fewer surprises = more certainty
  • Remove samples and test (petrography, NOT strength)

• Determine the response
  • How deeply is the concrete affected?
  • How widely is the concrete affected?
  • How much do I have to spend?
  • How do I combine these needs?
  • Do I just fix what is bad today or slow/stop it in the future?
Conclusions

• We can improve the odds and achieve scaling resistant flatwork

• We need to consider life-cycle cost

• If we do not want to lose the market to asphalt we need a cooperative response to minimize this problem
Scaling Notes Conclusions

- Freezing scaling is caused by water, and made worse by de-icing salts
- Nothing protects saturated concrete, so let it dry
- Use a sealer or membrane
- Avoid placing late in season, if you do, use a sealer!!
- Repair is hard – it will never be the same
- Use a durable concrete
**TABLE 4.3.1 — REQUIREMENTS FOR CONCRETE BY EXPOSURE CLASS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure Class</th>
<th>Max. (w/c), (\text{Min. } f'_c), psi</th>
<th>Additional minimum requirements</th>
<th>Limits on cementitious materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>Table 4.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>Table 4.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>Table 4.4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TABLE 4.4.1 — TOTAL AIR CONTENT FOR CONCRETE EXPOSED TO CYCLES OF FREEZING AND THAWING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal maximum aggregate size, in.</th>
<th>Air content, percent</th>
<th>Exposure Class F1</th>
<th>Exposure Classes F2 and F3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-1/2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2†</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3†</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See ASTM C33 for tolerance on oversize for various nominal maximum size designations.

†Air contents apply to total mixture. When testing concretes, however, aggregate particles larger than 1-1/2 in. are removed by sieving and air content is measured on the sieved fraction (tolerance on air content as delivered applies to this value). Air content of total mixture is computed from value measured on the sieved fraction passing the 1-1/2 in. sieve in accordance with ASTM C231.